## **United States District Court**

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

**ORDER OF DETENTION** 

V.		PENDING TRIAL	
Anton	io M	Morales-Lopez Case Number: 1:09-cr-00197-PLM	
facts re		n accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the ire the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	following
	(1)	Part I – Findings of Fact  The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a foffense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).  an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	federal
	(3)	A felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses of in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.  The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a feder or local offense.  A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).  Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions we reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has no rebutted this presumption.	ral, state om will
	, ,	Alternate Findings (A)  There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of controlled Substances will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
		Alternate Findings (B)  1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.  2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
	I fin	Part II – Written Statement of Reasons for Detention find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence	e that
2. 🛭	Defen Defen	endant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time. endant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in any case. endant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.	
appeal. the Uni	ions f The ted S ant to	Part III – Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement is facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody per the defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall delive to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.    Signature of Judge   III   III	ending f a court o
<i>-</i> 410		Ellen S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge	

Name and Title of Judge